UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/675,878	09/30/2003	William M. Smith	74088-002 6791		
29493 7590 04/24/2007 E.				AMINER	
HUSCH & EPPENBERGER, LLC 190 CARONDELET PLAZA			LOWE, MICHAEL S		
SUITE 600 ST. LOUIS, MO 63105-3441			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
01. 20010, 1	10 05100 0		3652		
	,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/675,878	SMITH, WILLIAM M.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
M. Scott Lowe	3652		

	M. Scott Lowe	3652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma	ling date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amous chortened statutory period for reply of than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropring riginally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action: or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)).	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con	nsideration and/or search (see N	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered b IOTE below);	ecause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowable) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or 		reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	` '/'	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separat	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ rided below or appended.	will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 24-27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I before or on the date of filing a I sufficient reasons why the affid	Notice of Appeal will no avit or other evidence is	it be entered is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		PATRICK MACKEY RVISORY PATENT EXA	MINER
	SUPE TE	CHNOLOGY CENTER	3600

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations of claim 1 require further search and/or consideration.